

## Interface Designs to Help Users Choose Better Passwords (study design)

Richard M. Conlan, Peter Tarasewich  
Northeastern University  
College of Computer & Information Science

© 2006 Richard M. Conlan

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---




## Study Summary

- ◆ Implemented four password selection applets
- ◆ Each applet offers real-time feedback on password quality based on a continuously updated Password Quality Score (PQS) which it submits to the server along with the new password

Old Password

New Password


Confirm New Password

 Terrible Password

Old Password

New Password

Confirm New Password

 Great Password

© 2006 Richard M. Conlan

---

---

---


---

---

---

---


---



## Study Summary (cont.)

- ◆ Embedded applets into **Dropbox-Online.com** homework submission system
- ◆ Homework submission system implemented in PHP with MySQL database
- ◆ HTTPS site
- ◆ Be careful with SSL certs – browser support doesn't mean it is supported by Java, etc.

**Dropbox Login**

 Username:

Password:

◆ Be careful with SSL certs – browser support doesn't mean it is supported by Java, etc.

© 2006 Richard M. Conlan

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

---





## What to store?

- ◆ Password Quality Score
- ◆ Password
- ◆ Did the user press the Help key?
- ◆ How many times did the user change passwords?
- ◆ How many times did the user forget his/her password?
- ◆ How many times did the user fail to login because of a bad password entry?

© 2006 Richard M. Conlan

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



## Storing Data

- ◆ Secure method of data storage?
- ◆ How to store reversible password?
- ◆ Considered depersonalized database on a separate computer – but realized that this is easy to brute force
- ◆ Considered encrypting under reversible encryption – but software needs key to encrypt/access data
- ◆ Ended up using MySQL's PASSWORD() hash to store password for login purposes and 4096-bit RSA encryption to store the reversible password

© 2006 Richard M. Conlan

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



## Other considerations?

- ◆ **Trade-off between study results and security of user accounts?**
- ◆ Should we require a minimum PQS?
- ◆ Minimum password length?
- ◆ Concern that applets *could* lead to worse passwords and have an adverse affect on security?
- ◆ Concern that requiring Java could be a problem?
- ◆ Concern that study database could be compromised to harvest data other than password data?

© 2006 Richard M. Conlan

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



## IRB

- ◆ We decided to meet with the IRB personal to describe the purpose of the study and why we needed to not divulge information beforehand.
- ◆ Found approval pretty easy once we had adequately explained the purpose of the study, justified the need for deception, and made it clear we were protecting user data.
- ◆ Note that the above was mostly verbal – once we had thoroughly explained it the paperwork just formalized the above in a rather compressed manner
- ◆ Lesson? Go talk to the IRB people.

© 2006 Richard M. Conlan

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



## IRB – Multi-tiered Participation

- ◆ We decided to try a multi-tiered consent form that offered students two levels of participation:
  - I grant the researchers permission to include my Password Quality Score (PQS) data in the study.
  - I grant the researchers permission to include all of my password data in the study (including my PQS).
- ◆ This turned out to be an excellent idea. Out of ~75 subjects 39 granted PQS access and less than 20 granted full access. Had we just asked for full access we probably would not have ended up with a useful body of data.

© 2006 Richard M. Conlan

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



## Soliciting Student Participation

- ◆ Rather than meeting with each student individually to go over debriefing we gave out consent forms, presented an explanation of the study to the class during a normal classroom period allowing for questions and answers, and then collected them after about ten minutes
- ◆ Only about half the subjects gave any sort of consent...
- ◆ ...why? We tried to make sure students did not feel compelled to participate and explicitly stated that they would be offered no extra credit. Perhaps we should have offered an alternative incentive? Or given the students more time to decide? Or?

© 2006 Richard M. Conlan

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Soliciting YOUR Participation

- ◆ We are planning on doing a larger version of the study this fall involving a diverse student population, drawing users from different universities and programs
- ◆ The intent is to make the Dropbox-Online homework submission system available for other classes, and for the collaborating professors/TAs to get IRB approval and solicit student consent for their respective institutions
- ◆ The hopeful outcome will be enough data to draw strong conclusions on password selection UI design and a research paper for CHI2007

© 2006 Richard M. Conlan

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## Questions?

© 2006 Richard M. Conlan

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---